

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PRIORITY MAIL  
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 55

Docket No. MC2013-40

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 55 (MC2013-40)  
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2013-52

**RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**  
(March 1, 2013)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides its responses to the Commission's request for supplemental information contained in Order No. 1665, issued February 22, 2013. Responses were due March 1, 2013. Each request is reprinted verbatim in the attached, and is followed by the Postal Service's response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3179, Fax -6187  
[elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov](mailto:elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov)  
March 1, 2013

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. In the contract, the First Year Prices for the Second Quarter are based on the volume of the contract packages shipped during the previous quarter. The relevant provision references a chart “outlined below.” However, unlike the Third and Fourth Quarter Prices for the First Year, no chart is outlined in section I.G.2. Please clarify how the Second Quarter prices in the First Year will be determined. See *id.* Attachment B at 2.

**RESPONSE:**

The same chart reprinted under section I.G.3 and section I.G.4 will apply for the Second Quarter, First Year.

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

2. In section VI of the contract, an exception to the parties' inability to amend the contract appears to have been intended. Please clarify what was intended by this exception. *See id.* at 4.

**RESPONSE:**

No exception was intended by the parties.

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

3. In the contract, the First Year Prices for the Third Quarter are based on the volume of the contract packages shipped during the First Quarter rather than the Second Quarter. See *id.* at 2. Does this language accurately reflect the intent of the parties? If not, should that provision be modified to change First Quarter to Second Quarter?

**RESPONSE:**

Prices for the Third Quarter should be based on Second Quarter volume. The Postal Service intends to file an amendment to the contract to correct this language within the next week.